1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

VANCE S. ELLIOT,

No. C 14-80009 RS

Plaintiff,

ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO **ISSUE SUMMONS**

THE DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.

Defendant.

Pro se plaintiff Vance Elliot filed a complaint in January 2014 alleging slander against the Department of Veterans affairs. In an order issued January 27, 2014, Elliot's request to proceed in forma pauperis was denied because his complaint was largely illegible. (ECF No. 3). Plaintiff then lodged an amended complaint on February 4, 2014. (ECF No. 4). In an order issued April 2, 2014, (ECF No. 4), Elliot's first amended complaint was dismissed because he failed to plead facts indicating that he exhausted his administrative remedies as required by the Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA). See 28 U.S.C. § 2675(a). The same order granted his request to proceed in forma pauperis.

Elliot has since lodged two additional amended complaints—one on April 16, 2014 (ECF No. 6) and another on April 24, 2014 (ECF No. 7). Although it is far from clear that either complaint states a cognizable claim, it is appropriate that service be effected and defendant be given